Short Report

Determining Osteoporosis Risk in Older Colono Adults from Rural Amazonian Ecuador Using Calcaneal Ultrasonometry

FELICIA C. MADIMENOS,¹* MELISSA A. LIEBERT,² TARA J. CEPON-ROBINS,² J. JOSH SNODGRASS,² AND LAWRENCE S. SUGIYAMA² ¹Department of Anthropology, Queens College, CUNY, Flushing, New York 11367

²Department of Anthropology, University of Oregon, Eugene, Oregon 97403

Objective: Low bone density and osteoporosis prevalence, while well-documented in wealthy nations, are poorly studied in rural, non-clinical contexts in economically developing regions such as Latin America. This study contributes preliminary osteoporosis risk data for a rural Colono (mestizo) population from Amazonian Ecuador.

Methods: Anthropometrics were collected for 119 adult participants (74 females, 45 males [50–90 years old]). Heel bone density and T-scores were recorded using calcaneal ultrasonometry

Results: Approximately, 33.6% of the participants had low bone density and were at high-risk for osteoporosis. Four times as many females as males were considered high-risk. Consistent with epidemiological literature, advancing age was significantly associated with lower bone density values (P = 0.001).

Conclusions: Low bone density and osteoporosis prevalence are expected to increase in this and other economically transitioning populations, yet infrastructure to monitor this changing epidemiological landscape is almost non-existent. Human biologists are uniquely positioned to contribute data from remote populations, a critical step toward initiating increased resource allocation for diagnosis and prevention. Am. J. Hum. Biol. 27:139-142, 2015. © 2014 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

INTRODUCTION

Osteoporosis is a skeletal disorder characterized by low bone mass, reduced bone micro-architecture and strength, and heightened fracture risk. An increasing global health problem, osteoporosis affects \sim 75 million people worldwide causing more than 8.9 million fractures annually (IOF, 2012). In terms of disability-adjusted life-years lost, the burden of osteoporosis is greater than for most other non-communicable diseases (IOF, 2012). While low bone density and heightened fracture risk are well-documented among wealthy nations, this issue has been poorly studied in rural, non-clinical contexts in economically developing regions such as in Latin America. Numerous explanations have been posited for this, including regional preoccupation with infectious disease, the assumption that bone loss is an inevitable component of aging, and limited diagnostic resources. However, as the total number of low bone mass-related fractures is projected to increase to 21.3 million worldwide by 2050, with a concomitant rise in socioeconomic impact (Gullberg et al., 1997), there is an urgent need to identify at-risk populations early in order to target preventative strategies that may attenuate these projections.

While data that are available from Latin America suggest that osteoporosis rates are similar to those in the US and Europe, these studies are biased in their focus on more economically developed countries such as Argentina and Chile that boast the highest life expectancy and standard of living in the region (Morales-Torres and Gutierrez-Urena, 2004). These countries also have comparatively better resources to provide patients with diagnoses and treatments. Therefore, the aim of this study is to provide preliminary data on bone health in a rural Ecuadorian Colono (mestizo) adult population and investigate age- and sex-associated risks of developing osteoporosis. This dataset provides a critical step toward improving knowledge of skeletal health in non-urbanized, economically transitioning contexts, thereby increasing osteoporosis awareness among participant populations and potentially stimulating regional investment in diagnostic tests and affordable treatment.

PARTICIPANT POPULATION AND HYPOTHESES

Study participants include Colono adults living in rural communities in the Upano River Valley of Morona-Santiago, Ecuador. Historically, the Upano Valley was inhabited by indigenous populations; however, with agrarian reform policies beginning in the 1960s, increasing numbers of nonindigenous Colonos settled in the region. While Colonos here engage in animal husbandry and agricultural production, many simultaneously participate in the market economy through sale and consumption of goods and wage labor employment. The combination of rural, agrarian lifeways, and increasing market integration produces a distinct economic and nutritional landscape shared across many Latin American populations (Rivera et al., 2004). Compared to local indigenous groups, such as the Shuar however, Colonos are experiencing a later stage of this economic and nutrition transition (Liebert et al., 2013).

Due to greater market integration in general among Colonos compared to native Shuar, we hypothesize that Colonos will exhibit rates of low bone density that is more aligned with data from populations in more industrialized

DOI: 10.1002/ajhb.22626

Contract grant sponsor: Wenner-Gren Foundation for Anthropological Research, Contract grant number: 7970; Contract grant sponsor: NSF, Contract grant number: BCS-0925910; Contract grant sponsor: Leakey Foundation; Contract grant sponsor: NIH via UCSB Center for Evolution-ary Psychology, University of Oregon; Contract grant number: DP10D000516-04.

^{*}Correspondence to: Felicia C. Madimenos, 65-30 Kissena Boulevard, 313D Powdermaker Hall, Flushing, NY 11367, USA. E-mail: fmadimenos@qc.cuny.edu

Received 18 February 2014; Revision received 18 August 2014; Accepted 31 August 2014

Published online 20 September 2014 in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com).

TABLE 1. Descri	otive statistics below are	presented by s	sex and by T-score	e based on partici	pant's risk of	developing osteop	porosis
-----------------	----------------------------	----------------	--------------------	--------------------	----------------	-------------------	---------

		Low-risk (T-score: > -1.8)		High-risk/low BMD $(T$ -score: $\leq -1.8)$		Total population by sex and combined	
		n	Mean (SD)	n	Mean (SD)	n	Mean (SD)
Female		n = 42		n = 32		n = 74	
	Age (years)		62.01 (8.08)		68.76 (9.11)		64.93 (9.12)
	Height (cm)		145.74 (14.29)		145.28 (5.73)		145.54 (11.40)
	Weight (kg)		63.55 (11.30)		58.75 (9.37)		61.45 (10.70)
	hBMD (g/cm ²)		0.482 (0.09)		0.312(0.05)		0.409(0.11)
	BMI (kg/m ²)		29.10 (4.74)		27.78(4.37)		28.53(4.60)
Males		n = 37		n = 8		n = 45	
	Age (years)		65.28 (10.44)		72.01 (11.43)		66.48 (10.81)
	Height (cm)		159.89 (6.0)		151.84 (9.23)		158.46 (7.26)
	Weight (kg)		69.46 (11.63)		54.68 (5.71)		66.83 (12.19)
	hBMD (g/cm ²)		0.498 (0.07)		0.291 (0.06)		0.461(0.11)
	BMI (kg/m ²)		27.11 (3.96)		23.93(3.83)		$26.55\ (4.08)$
Total Population		n = 79		n = 40		n = 119	
by T-score and	Age (years)		63.50 (9.35)		69.41 (9.55)		65.51 (9.78)
Sex Combined	Height (cm)		152.37(13.21)		146.63 (6.99)		150.47 (11.81)
	Weight (kg)		66.36 (11.77)		57.93 (8.85)		63.50 (11.54)
	hBMD (g/cm ²)		0.490 (0.08)		0.308(0.53)		0.429(0.11)
	$BMI (kg/m^2)$		28.16 (4.47)		26.99 (4.50)		27.77 (4.49)

Low-risk participants had T-scores greater than the cut-off value, -1.8, while high-risk included those individuals with T-scores of -1.8 or lower. In clinical settings, where available, high risk participants would undergo a follow-up examination using DEXA to establish osteoporosis status

nations. Furthermore, based on epidemiological data, we anticipate bone density will decrease with advanced age and females will be at greater risk for low bone density and osteoporosis.

METHODS

One hundred and nineteen older adults aged 50-90 years participated in the study (74 females, 45 males). For each participant, height and weight were recorded; body mass index (BMI) was then calculated as weight $(kg)/height (m)^2$. While dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) scans are considered the standard for bone mass determination, high costs limit their use for osteoporosis screening in developing contexts; consequently, quantitative ultrasound (QUS) techniques have gained popularity. Bone mineral density (BMD; g/cm²) was determined using a Sahara® Calcaneal Ultrasonometer, a portable device highly correlated with DEXA (Langton and Langton, 2000). Because of abundant research on the calcaneus compared to other bone segments, this technique is the only validated QUS measurement for indicating osteoporosis. However, only DEXA can confirm osteoporosis diagnosis; thus, QUS values predict risk of fracture and osteoporosis (Schousboe et al., 2013).

Device-generated measures (estimated heel BMD [hBMD] and T-scores) were recorded. hBMD is a comprehensive indicator of bone micro-architecture and trabecular connectivity; T-scores are a measure of the difference between participants' BMD and mean values for a healthy, young adult population in standard deviation units. Although numerous cut-off points for QUS bone health classifications are available, we adopt a calcaneal QUS-specific T-score threshold of -1.8 to identify individuals with clinically defined low BMD and increased risk of osteoporosis (Frost et al., 2000). Quality control scans of the manufacturer-provided phantom were performed daily. One-way ANOVA and linear regression analyses were conducted using SPSS 21.0.

RESULTS

Anthropometrics and BMD data are presented in Table 1. Forty individuals (33.6%) were considered at high-risk for developing osteoporosis, with four times as many females (80.0%) than males (20.0%) in this category. A one-way ANOVA test confirmed a significant effect of sex on BMD, with females generally exhibiting lower values than males [F (1, 117) = 6.311, P = 0.013]. Of 74 female participants, 72 (97.3%) were post-menopausal and, of these, 31 (43.1%) were considered high-risk for osteoporosis. Among 45 males, eight (17.8%) also exhibited BMD values in the high-risk category. While heightened risk was documented across participants, linear regression analyses indicated a strong association between advanced age and reduced bone mass (p = 0.001; $R^2 = 0.90$).

DISCUSSION

While results are preliminary and sample size is small, this study represents one of the few that documents osteoporosis risk using calcaneal ultrasonometry from a nonindustrialized, non-clinical context and, specifically, for any Amazonian Ecuadorian population. Normative skeletal health data are available for indigenous Amazonian Shuar (Madimenos et al., 2011), yet that sample is substantially younger than the *Colono* cohort; therefore, issues related to demographic differences prevent useful comparisons with *Colonos*.

Since DEXA is the only means of diagnosing osteoporosis, and QUS techniques do not permit a clinical distinction between individuals with low BMD and osteoporosis, most cross-population prevalence data are not directly comparable with the current study. While recognizing complexities in comparing these data, Table 2 presents an overview of low bone density (osteopenia) and osteoporosis rates across Latin American and US populations and includes *Colonos* who qualify as high-risk (*T*-score ≤ -1.8). The rates of low

 TABLE 2. Comparison of osteopenia^a and osteoporosis rates (in %) from other Latin American groups and US NHANES data for individuals > 50 years old

					<i>J</i>			
	Females Low BMD		Males Low BMD		Total population Low BMD			
	Osteopenia (%)	Osteoporosis (%)	Osteopenia (%)	Osteoporosis (%)	Osteopenia (%)	Osteoporosis (%)	Skeletal site	Source
Argentina	50	25					Lumbar spine and femoral neck	Schurman et al., 2007
Bogota, Colombia	49.7	15.7					Lumbar spine	Ardila, 2001
Brazil	38–56.6	14.7-043.4	44.6	15.4			Femoral neck	Lanzillotti et al., 2003
Mexico	43	17	30	9			Lumbar spine	Clark et al., 2005
	41	16	56	6			Proximal femur	
Peru		22		12			Lumbar spine	Beccera-Rojas and Jupari, 2001
		18		10			Femoral neck	1 /
USA (NHANES)	61	16	38	4	49	9	Proximal femur and lumbar spine	Looker et al., 2012
USA Mexican American (NHANES)	60	26	47	6			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Colonos	4	3.2	1	.7.8	ŧ	33.6	Calcaneus (calcaneal ultrasound)	This study

^aAccording to the International Society of Clinical Densitometry (2013) official positions, the term "low bone density" is preferred instead of "osteopenia". However, the comparison presented here retains this term where it has been employed in the aforementioned studies. Since osteoporosis diagnosis is only possible through DEXA, limited studies are available from Latin America that present calcaneal ultrasonometry-derived data on

Since osteoporosis diagnosis is only possible through DEXA, limited studies are available from Latin America that present calcaneal ultrasonometry-derived data on osteoporosis risk. DEXA is used to measure BMD in all studies below (except the current study). This chart is intended to provide an overview of bone health across Latin America countries but also includes data on *Colono* individuals who have low BMD and are considered high risk for developing osteoporosis (*T*-score ≤ -1.8).

BMD among Colono females is within the range of other Latin American countries and the US, a trend that is less obvious in Colono males. Although additional conclusions must remain conservative due to limitations mentioned above, this overview emphasizes a larger, overarching issue. Lack of diagnostic tools has resulted in limited prevalence data for many global populations, and specifically in Latin American regions. Consequently, a disproportionate number of publications on osteoporosis risk as determined by DEXA are available from more economically developed areas. Cost-efficient and portable QUS techniques are the best alternative, and yet such devices are not widely utilized for determining osteoporosis risk across diverse and remote populations. This creates challenges for crosspopulation comparisons and for identifying and implementing prevention and treatment strategies for potentially high-risk demographics.

Colono participants in the present study are primarily from small, rural communities undergoing rapid economic and lifestyle change, characteristics that create unique epidemiological challenges that are not typically found among Western, industrialized populations. While it remains unclear the extent to which osteoporosis risk is associated with age and sex among "traditionally living" populations, among *Colonos* who are at the rural margins of the market economy, greater bone loss with advancing age and significantly lower BMD among females have been preliminarily demonstrated. This phenomenon may be partly a consequence of rapid adverse health effects of the socioeconomic and nutrition transitions.

The shifting socioeconomic and demographic landscape coupled with pervasive regional issues such as disparities in access to health care and diagnostic tools highlight that the burden of low bone density and osteoporosis, as with other non-communicable disease, may be ultimately hardest felt in these transitioning communities. Given the economic and societal costs of osteoporosis, Latin American health authorities will face enormous public health challenges in the coming years unless efforts are made toward increasing regional osteoporosis awareness. Human biologists who study health in non-Western groups are uniquely positioned to contribute epidemiological data from more remote, rural locales typically overlooked in clinical population-based studies, data that can highlight the regional need for resource allocation toward prevention and treatment of chronic disease.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors express their sincerest gratitude to all study participants and to those who have aided in data collection including the Logroño Health Clinic staff, Michael Fernandez, Betsy Ruth, Luzmila and Estela Jempekat.

LITERATURE CITED

Ardila E. 2001. Epidemiology of osteoporosis in Colombia. Bone 29:297.

- Beccera-Rojas F, Jupari M. 2001. Epidemiology of osteoporosis in Peru. Bone 29:297.
- Clark P, Lavielle P, Franco-Marina F, Ramirez E, Salmeron J, Kanis J, Cummings SR. 2005. Incidence rates and life time risk of hip fractures in Mexicans over 50 years of age: a population-based study. Osteoporos Int 16:2025–2030.
- Frost ML, Blake GM, Fogelman I. 2000. Can the WHO criteria for diagnosing osteoporosis be applied to calcaneal quantitative ultrasound? Osteoporos Int 11:321–330.
- Gullberg B, Johnell O, Kanis JA. 1997. World-wide projections for hip fracture. Osteoporos Int 7:407–413.
- International Osteoporosis Foundation (IOF). 2012. The Latin America regional audit: epidemiology, costs & burden of osteoporosis. Available at: www.iofbonehealth.org. Accessed on 14 July 2014.
- Langton CM, DK Langton. 2000. Comparison of bone mineral density and quantitative ultrasound of the calcaneus: site-matched correlation and discrimination of axial BMD status. Br J Radiol 73:31–35.
- Lanzillotti HS, Lanzillotti RS, Trotte APR, Dias AS, Bornand B, Costa EAMM. 2003. Osteoporosis in postmenopausal women, dietary calcium and other risk factors. Rev Nutr 16:181–193.

142

- Liebert MA, Snodgrass JJ, Blackwell AD, Madimenos FC, Sugiyama LS. 2013. The effects of market integration on blood pressure, glucose, cholesterol, and triglyceride levels in an indigenous lowland Ecuadorian population. Ann Hum Biol 40:228–242.
- Looker A, Borrud LG, Dawson-Hughes B, Shepherd JA, Wright NC. 2012. Osteoporosis or low bone mass at the femur neck or lumbar spine in older adults: United States, 2005–2008. NCHS Data Brief No. 93.
- Madimenos FC, Snodgrass JJ, Blackwell AB, Liebert MA, Cepon TJ, Sugiyama LS. 2011. Normative calcaneal quantitative ultrasound data for the indigenous Shuar and non-Shuar Colonos of the Ecuadorian Amazon. Arch Osteoporos 6:39–49.
- Morales-Torres J, Gutierrez-Urena S. 2004. The burden of osteoporosis in Latin America. Osteoporos Int 15:625–632.
- Rivera JA, Barquera S, Gonzalez-Cossio T, Olaiz G, Sepulveda J. 2004. Nutrition transition in Mexico and in other Latin American countries. Nutr Rev 62:S149–S157.
- Schousboe JT, Shepherd JA, Bilezikian JP, Baim S. 2013. Executive summary of the 2013 ISCD Position Development Conference on Bone Densitometry. J Clin Densitom 16:455–467.
- Schurman L, Bagur A, Claus-Hermberg H, et al. 2007. Argentine guidelines for the diagnosis, prevention, and treatment of osteoporosis. Actual Osteol 3:117–136.